

DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR PROVISION OF NATURAL DISASTER VICTIM LOGISTIC ASSISTANCE WITH TOPSIS METHOD

Enok Tuti Alawiah^{1*}, Sefrika

¹Information Systems; ²Accounting Information System

Faculty of Engineering and Informatics, Bina Sarana Informatics University, Jakarta, Indonesia
www.bsi.ac.id

¹*enok.etw@bsi.ac.id; ²sefrika.sfe@bsi.ac.id

*Corresponding Author

Abstract

At the beginning of 2020, a natural disaster caused many people to lose their homes, damage to public facilities and infrastructure, and the breakdown of transportation links connecting villagers, especially in 3 districts, namely Sukajaya, Nanggung, and Cigudeg. As a result of the disaster, many villages are isolated, and residents need a lot of logistical support to meet their needs. To overcome these problems, we need a system that can help the government and volunteers interested in the decision-making process so that assistance for victims of natural disasters can be right on target and by the urgency of basic needs and logistics needed. Decision support systems using the TOPSIS method are used to solve multicriteria problems by offering various alternative solutions to solve problems. The results obtained a final preference value of 0.68 from C3 criteria to prioritize residents with closed transportation access to channel disaster relief funds for victims of natural disasters in Bogor Regency.

Keywords: Decision support system, TOPSIS, Multicriteria

Abstrak

Awal tahun 2020 terjadi bencana alam yang menyebabkan banyak warga yang kehilangan rumah, rusaknya sarana dan prasarana publik, serta terputusnya jalur transportasi yang menghubungkan warga desa terutama di 3 kecamatan yaitu Sukajaya, Nanggung dan Cigudeg. Akibat dari bencana tersebut, banyak desa terisolir dan warga membutuhkan banyak bantuan logistik untuk memenuhi kebutuhan hidupnya. Untuk mengatasi permasalahan tersebut, diperlukan sebuah sistem yang dapat membantu pemerintah dan relawan yang berkepentingan dalam proses pengambilan keputusan agar pemberian bantuan bagi korban bencana alam dapat tepat sasaran dan sesuai dengan urgensi kebutuhan pokok dan logistik yang diperlukan. Sistem pendukung keputusan dengan metode TOPSIS digunakan untuk menyelesaikan permasalahan multikriteria dengan menawarkan berbagai solusi alternatif untuk memecahkan masalah. Hasil penelitian mendapatkan nilai preferensi akhir sebesar 0,68 dari kriteria C3 agar memprioritaskan warga dengan akses transportasi tertutup untuk penyaluran dana bantuan bencana bagi korban bencana alam di Kabupaten Bogor

Kata kunci: Sistem pendukung keputusan, TOPSIS, Multikriteria

INTRODUCTION

Disaster is an event or series of events that threaten and disrupt people's lives. It caused by natural or non-natural factors and themselves. So that many fatalities, environmental damage, property loss, and psychological impact. In Law Number 24 Year 2007 it is defined concerning natural disasters, non-natural disasters, and social disasters. Natural disasters are disasters caused by events or a series of events caused by nature such

as earthquakes, tsunamis, volcanic eruptions, floods, droughts, hurricanes, and landslides.

In Bogor Regency there are 22 sub-districts and 250 villages, including disaster prone areas. BPBD (Badan Penanggulangan Bencana Daerah) Bogor Regency noted that there were 35 natural disasters including severe landslides that occurred in Tenjolaya, West Bogor. BNPB noted that 1,092 houses were heavily damaged, 1,625 were moderately damaged, 1,334 were slightly damaged, 10 mosques were heavily damaged, 15 mosques were lightly damaged, 5 schools were



heavily damaged, 3 schools were moderately damaged, 80 bridges were seriously damaged, and 2 bridges were slightly damaged. Natural disasters also claimed the lives of 8 people who died, 3 people were missing, 12 seriously injured and 517 minor injuries (BNPB, 2020).

The impact of this natural disaster, many villages were isolated. The villagers needed many logistics to fill their daily life full. To prevent these problems, it needs a system that can help the government and the volunteer in processing decisions so that the logistics distribution will be proper in to the target and appropriate with the urgency of their daily needs and logistics.

The fact is different. When the social assistance distribution system for victims of natural disasters has not been able to run as intended, Various problems related to the victim's daily need is still happens. Mechanisms, procedures and the synergy of potential personnel who have not considered the potential of the region, regional characteristics, disaster characteristics as well as the socio-economic and cultural conditions of the community have become factors that have not yet met the needs of victims in a timely, timely and effective manner (Regence & Prastyowati, n.d.).

The natural disaster relief distribution system policy is ultimately oriented towards meeting the needs of victims. Fulfillment of the needs of victims of natural disasters will be achieved when there is a match between the operation of the distribution system with regional characteristics, characteristics of disasters, types of disasters, number of victims and losses (Rahayu et al., 2015).

An organization's decision-making results from communication and continuous participation of the whole organization. One of the most fundamental management tasks is maintaining and developing the organization. One of the most fundamental management tasks is maintaining and developing the organization. Decision-making is a very complex. It is because involving people and information. For this reason management must make decisions regarding the steps that must be taken at both the strategy, tactics and operational levels. Decisions to be made to solve problems To make better quality decisions, an interactive computer-based system is needed, which can help decision-makers utilize data and models to solve unstructured problems.. (Rahayu et al., 2015)

SPK is an interactive information system that provides information, models, and data manipulation. his system is used to assist in decision-making in semi-structured and unstructured situations (Nursalam, 2016, 2013)

The support system used uses the TOPSIS method, one of the multicriteria decision-making methods introduced by Yoon and Hwang in 1981. TOPSIS method is widely used for decision-making with multiple criteria (Alawiah & Susilowati, 2018).

Decision Support System for flood disaster logistical assistance made on a web-based basis simplifies TRC to transmit disaster data. This can improve time efficiency so that TRC performance becomes more efficient (Nursalam, 2016, 2013).

The decision support system for reconstruction funds for victims of natural disasters is implemented using the Borland Delphi 7 programming language, using a MySQL database, and reports are created using Quick Report. The system is used to determine the recipient of funds according to the availability of funds that involve various factors that are used as criteria as the main factor for obtaining the reconstruction funds;; the family's condition is a supporting factor. The results of this system will give an alternative assessment for decision-makers to determine who will receive reconstruction funds (Rahayu et al., 2015).

The house has important function for individuals and families. It is not only includes physical aspects, but also mental and social. To support the function of the house as a good place to live, so physical conditions must be fulfilled, namely safe as a shelter, mentally fulfills a sense of comfort and can socially protect the privacy of every family member, the house also becomes a media for the implementation of family guidance and education. With the fulfillment of one of the basic needs in the form of a decent house, it is expected to achieve family resilience. Implementation of poor housing reconstruction program carried out by the Social Service through Bapernas ((Badan Pemberdayaan Masyarakat)) which began with data collection on prospective recipients of reconstruction assistance for poor houses that were known and recommended by the head of district and the village chief. Based on the collective data, The Social office ranks and makes decisions about prospective recipients of reconstruction assistance for poor houses based on the criteria of poor families determined by the Social Service (Parjono et al., 2015).

The research used the TOPSIS method (Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution). The TOPSIS method is used because this method can solve multi criteria problems by offering various alternative solutions to solve the problem. The results obtained a preference value of 0.58 from the C2 criterion of owning a house using their own name. Therefore, a



$Y_{ij} = W_i R_j$
 Where $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$; and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

d. Positive ideal solution matrix (A +) and negative ideal solution matrix (A-)

Calculate positive (A +) and negative (A-) ideal solutions with the following formula:

$$A^+ = (Y_1^+, Y_2^+, \dots, Y_n^+)$$

$$A^- = (Y_1^-, Y_2^-, \dots, Y_n^-)$$

Where:

Y_j^+ is :

- Max Y_{ij} , if j is an attribute of benefit
- Min Y_{ij} , if j is an attribute of cost
- Y_j^- is :
- Min Y_{ij} if j is an attribute of benefit
- Max Y_{ij} , if j is an attribute of cost

e. Distance of Ideal Negative Solution (D-) and Positive Ideal Solution (D+)

Calculate the distance between a negative ideal solution (D-) and a positive ideal solution (+) by:

Formula:

$$D_i^+; i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$D_j^+ = \sqrt{\sum_j^n (y_{if}^n - y_{if})^2} \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad (4)$$

f. Preference Value for Each Alternative

Calculate the preference value for each alternative offered as follows:

Formula:

$$V_i = \frac{D_i}{D_i^+ + D_i^-} \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad (5)$$

Where $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The study's results are presented as graphs, tables, or descriptive. Analysis and interpretation of these results are needed before being discussed.

The results of the study to determine the criteria weights are:

- a. There are fatalities, missing, serious injuries, and minor injuries. (C1)
- b. Damage to houses and public facilities (C2)
- c. Covered transportation access (C3)
- d. Logistics availability (C4)

Table 3 Kecamatan Data Samples

RW Location	Criteria
Sukajaya	A1
Nanggung	A2

Cigudeg	A3
---------	----

The research sample was only carried out in 3 districts, namely Sukajaya, Nanggung, and Cigudeg because the area was the worst location in the natural disaster in early 2020 in Bogor Regency. In these locations, isolated local points still need a lot of help because of closed access due to infrastructure damage.

Table 4 Normalization Decision Matrix

Criteria	C1	C2	C3	C4
A1	5	4	4	2
A2	5	3	4	4
A3	4	3	3	3

The normalized decision matrix from Table 4 above is intended to determine the performance ranking value of each alternative offered by using the TOPSIS method.

Table 5. Results of Weight Value Criteria

C1	C2	C3	C4
5	3	4	3

Then the value of each normalized data (R) is then multiplied by the weight (W) to get the weighted normalized decision matrix. The following results are in table 6.

Table 6. Normalized values based on

Criteria	C1	C2	C3	C4
A1	5	4	4	2
A2	5	3	4	4
A3	4	3	3	3
Criteria	66	34	41	29
Rank Result				
Root of Criteria	8,12	5,83	6,40	5,38
Rank Result				

Where w_i is the rank of positive value for the profit attribute (Benefit), and negative value for the cost attribute (cost)

The next step is to calculate the normalized matrix for each of the criteria offered. The following is a matrixized matrix for criteria: Fatalities, missing, serious injuries and minor injuries. (C1)

Table 7 Normalized Matrix C1

Criteria	C1	Rank	Result
A1	5	8,12	0,61
A2	5	8,12	0,61
A3	4	8,12	0,49



The following is a normalized matrix for the criteria for damage to houses and public facilities (C2).

Table 8 Normalized Matrix C2

Criteria	C2	Rank	Result
A1	4	5,83	0,68
A2	3	5,83	0,51
A3	3	5,83	0,52

The following is a matrixized matrix for closed transportation access (C3) criteria.

Table 9 Normalized Matrix C3

Criteria	C3	Rank	Result
A1	4	6,40	0,62
A2	4	6,40	0,62
A3	3	6,40	0,46

The following is a normalized matrix for the logistical Availability criteria (C4).

Table 10 Normalized Matrix C4

Criteria	C4	Rank	Result
A1	2	5,38	0,37
A2	4	5,38	0,74
A3	3	5,38	0,55

The results of the performance appraisal of each criterion offered by C1, C2, C3 and C4 will be used for the final assessment to find the best alternative to solve the problem and choose the most ideal alternative for the decision-making process.

The Final Result Is Normalized Data

The table below summarizes the values of each criterion weights calculated for the various alternatives offered. The following results are in table 11.

Table 11 Normalized Data

Criteria	C1	C2	C3	C4
A1	0,61	0,68	0,62	0,37
A2	0,61	0,51	0,62	0,74
A3	0,49	0,52	0,46	0,55

Normalized Matrix Is Weighted

The next stage is to determine the weighted normalized matrix which is calculated based on the normalized data in table 11 multiplied by the results of the criteria weights in Table 5, and the results are as follows.

Table 12. Weighted normalization

Criteria	C1	C2	C3	C4
A1	3,05	2,04	2,48	1,11
A2	3,05	1,53	2,48	2,22

A3	2,45	1,56	1,84	1,65
min	2,45	1,53	1,84	1,65
max	3,05	2,04	2,48	2,22

The weighted normalized matrix shows the value of each criterion calculated with the initial weighting of the alternatives offered.

Value of Positive and Negative Ideal Solutions

The value of positive and negative ideal solutions is the value of all the best values achieved from the criteria offered.

The following table

Table 13. Positive and Negative Ideal Solutions

Criteria	C1	C2	C3	C4
A1	3,05	2,04	2,48	1,11
A2	3,05	1,53	2,48	2,22
A3	2,45	1,56	1,84	1,65
min	2,45	1,53	1,84	1,65
max	3,05	2,04	2,48	2,22

Ideal Negative and Positive Distance Solutions

The distance between the negative and positive ideal solutions is the weighted values of each alternative to the positive and negative ideal solutions. The aim is to find out the positive and negative values. The following shows the values of D + and D- in Table 14.

Table 14. Distance of Ideal Negative & Positive Solutions

Criteria	D+	D-
C1	0,60	0,84
C2	0,70	1,03
C3	0,40	0,89
C4	1,24	0,78

Preference Value

The final step in the TOPSIS method is to determine the Preference value. Calculating it is to look at the results of the values of the ideal and negative solutions. The results of calculating preference values can be used as a reference in the decision-making process. The best preference value taken is the highest value for each alternative weight.

Table 15 Final Preference Values

Criteria	Score $Vi = (Di^- / (Di^- + Di^+))$	Score D-
C1	$(0,84) / (0,84 + 0,60)$	0,58
C2	$(1,03) / (1,03 + 0,70)$	0,59
C3	$(0,89) / (0,89 + 0,40)$	0,68
C4	$(0,78) / (0,78 + 1,24)$	0,38

Based on research that has been found, the final preference value is 0.68 from C3 criteria,



namely closed transportation access. Assisting disaster victims in Bogor Regency is recommended to prioritize locations with closed transportation access.

Sugiyono. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Alfabeta.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Conclusion

Decision support systems using the TOPSIS method can help governments and volunteers with an interest in the decision-making process so that assistance to victims of natural disasters can be right on target and appropriate. The results obtained a final preference value of 0.68 from C3 criteria to prioritize residents with closed transportation access to channel disaster relief funds for victims of natural disasters in Bogor Regency.

Suggestion

Research can be used with various other additional variables with a larger number of samples. Research can also be used to help make policy in other decision-support systems.

REFERENCES

Alawiah, E. T., & Putri, D. A. (2019). *Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemberian Bantuan Rs* . 16(1), 73–78.

Alawiah, E. T., & Susilowati, S. (2018). Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pembelian Vending Machine Dengan Metode TOPSIS Studi Kasus PT . KAI Commuter Jabodetabek. *Jurnal Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Teknik Informatika*, 1(1), 256–261.

Nursalam, 2016, metode penelitian. (2013). 濟無 No Title No Title. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 53(9), 1689–1699. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

Parjono, Winarno, W. W., & Luthfi, E. T. (2015). *Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Menentukan Kelayakan Rekonstruksi Rumah Miskin*.

Rahayu, Y. S., Farozi, M., & Ring, J. (2015). *Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Dana Rekonstruksi Korban Bencana Alam (Studi Kasus Kecamatan Piyungan Kabupaten Bantul)*. 6–8.

Regence, A. C. P., & Prastyowati, S. (n.d.). *Sistem Penyaluran Bantuan Bencana Alam dan Keterpenuhan Kebutuhan Korban Kasus di Kabupaten Padang Pariaman*.

