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Abstract
The COVID-19 pandemic that hit the world at the end of early 2020 caused many losses. The Indonesian government has established various ways to reduce the path of the COVID-19 pandemic by launching the PeduliLindungi application to reduce the spread of COVID-19. Various layers of society responded to the launch of the application with various opinions. This research mainly analyzes public opinion sentiment toward the PeduliLindungi application, as determined by 10,000 reviews on the Google Play Store. This study aims to compare the performance of deep learning and machine learning models in sentiment analysis. The stages of the research method begin with data collection methods, data pre-processing, and sentiment analysis using a machine learning model with the embedding of the word TF-IDF, which includes the Naive Bayes algorithm, Decision Tree, Random Forest, K-Nearest Neighbour, and SVM. As for the deep learning model with the fastText word embedding word representation technique using the LSTM algorithm, an evaluation is carried out using the confusion matrix. The results of this study state that deep learning models perform better than machine learning models.

Keywords: Sentiment Analysis; Machine Learning; Deep Learning; LSTM

INTRODUCTION
In the midst of the rapid development of various fields in the world, in 2020, the world was shocked by the outbreak of a new virus that spread quickly. Indonesia was also attacked by a new virus called Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) which is caused by a strain of coronavirus (SARS-CoV2) (Djalante et al., 2020). The 2019 coronavirus is an infectious illness brought on by a brand-new virus that has never been identified. This COVID-19 illness can spread from person to person and has flu-like symptoms (Sudiarsa & Wiraditya, 2020). WHO and the Indonesian government both declared Covid-19 to be a disease that causes public health emergencies and non-natural disasters. (Keputusan Menteri Kesehatan Republik Indonesia, 2020).

A new achievement for the government of the Republic of Indonesia in utilizing technology is the availability of the PeduliLindungi application,
which is expected to inhibit the spread of the Covid-19 virus. Community involvement is required for this software to operate (Sudiarso & Wiradiyta, 2020). A feature of the PeduliLindungi application allows for displaying information on vaccinations, test results for Covid-19, statistical data for Covid-19 cases, and telehealth providers.

The Government of the Republic of Indonesia made a policy to facilitate tracing, tracking, and fencing by requiring several places to attach a QR-Code at the entrance to check in through the PeduliLindungi application. People must install the PeduliLindungi application on their devices. It is required for people in Indonesia to install the PeduliLindungi application on the Google Play platform or similar platforms. (Pribadi, Manongga, Purnomo, Setyawan, & Hendry, 2022).

Community perspectives vary in dealing with government policies regarding the PeduliLindungi application. People’s perceptions of using the PeduliLindungi application vary, as observed on the Google Play Store platform. As time passes, some users of the PeduliLindungi application reveal to support it, but others despise it (Pribadi et al., 2022). Users can voice their opinions in various ways, from subtly complimenting phrases to bluntly disparaging ones. Users can also provide reviews of downloaded applications in the form of star ratings (between 1 and 5).

This study’s main objective is to observe user comments on the PeduliLindungi app on the Google Play Store website. Many customers have left positive and negative reviews regarding complaints and other opinions. Based on this, sentiment analysis of the PeduliLindungi application on the Google Play Store platform is needed because it will become test data for application developers, especially the Ministry of Communication and Informatics, to improve application performance.

Sentiment analysis is a method for automatically classifying several texts into positive or negative attitudes (Dashtipour, Gogate, Adeel, Larijani, & Hussain, 2021). The lexicon-based approach and the machine learning approach are two methods for sentiment analysis. (Onan, 2021). However, deep learning models can also be used to build classification models for sentiment analysis.

Several studies have used machine learning models for sentiment analysis, such as the K-Nearest Neighbours (KNN), Naïve Bayes, Support Vector Machine (SVM), Random Forest, and Naïve Bayes algorithms. Some studies compare the many methods of machine learning models as well as those that use only one of these algorithms, as in research (Deho, Agangiba, Arye, & Ansah, 2018) and (Stephnie, Warsito, & Prahutama, 2020) which only conducted research using random forest algorithm.

SVM, Random Forest, and Decision Tree algorithms have been used in the study (Steinke, Wier, Simon, & Seetan, 2022). Other researchers also used Naïve Bayes, SVM, decision trees, random forests, and KNN (Tran, Nguyen, & Dao, 2022). The Support Vector Machine Approach received the highest accuracy in these two studies compared to other machine learning algorithms.

In addition to employing machine learning models, several researchers have begun using deep learning models with Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), Short-Term Long Memory (LSTM), and Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) algorithms to carry out sentiment analysis. Previous studies by (Feizollah et al., 2019) and (Steinke, 2019; Kilimci, 2020; Kilimci & Akyokus, 2019; Ombabi, Quarda, & Alimi, 2020) compared the performance of various sentiment analysis techniques using deep learning models. Moreover, an experiment by (Feizollah et al., 2019) obtained maximum accuracy in conducting analysis using a combination of CNN and LSTM. Kilimci & Akyokus, 2019; Ombabi et al., 2020) They claimed that the LSTM algorithm performs the best. However, using fastText word embedding to the models (Kilimci, 2020; Ombabi et al., 2020) concluded that sentiment analysis performed better.

Recent research found that not all deep learning models are equally successful in various situations (Kapočiūtė-Džikiienė, Damaševičius, & Woźniak, 2019). Evaluated the Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Multinomial Naive Bayes (MNB) models with the LSTM and CNN models by conducting a sentiment analysis of the opinions expressed in Lithuania on the news portal Lietuvos Rytas. The highest accuracy results were obtained for classification using machine learning, namely MNB and SVM. Deep learning with word2vec and FasText works less than optimally, so the accuracy is not as good as machine learning.

This study aims to compare the effectiveness of machine learning and deep learning models to identify the most effective model for sentiment analysis on PeduliLindungi application reviews on the Google Play Store.

**RESEARCH METHODS**

In this study, we compared the performance of machine learning models, which include Naïve Bayes, Decision Tree, Random Forest, K-Nearest Neighbours, and SVM, with the TF-IDF
word embedding technique and LSTM deep learning model using fastText word embedding. The dataset was obtained from a scraping review of the PeduliLindungi application on the Google Play Store and then evaluated to measure the performance. The framework of this research is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Research Framework

Scraping PeduliLindungi App Review
Scraping PeduliLindungi App review data from the Google Play Store uses Python programming, utilizing the google-play-scrapper library with parameters sort = sort.NEWEST means the latest data. Country = 'id', which means a review from Indonesia. Lang = 'id,' which means review in Indonesian, and count = 10000, which indicates the amount of data taken, as many as 10,000. Based on the required data, it is filtered and then assigned a sentiment label based on the review rating. Ratings 1 and 2 are categorized as having negative sentiment, ratings 4 and 5 have positive sentiment, and rating 3 has neutral sentiment.

Pre-processing Data
The data pre-processing process is carried out after collecting and labeling. At this stage, the data is prepared for analysis (Pribadi et al., 2022). The pre-processing data stage consists of several sub-processes: symbol/punctuation/emoji removal, case folding, tokenization, filtering, and stemming. During case folding, all letters are reduced to lowercase. Then in the tokenization stage, the strings (text) sequence is split into a keyword, word, phrase or other element called a token (Dey et al., 2020). Words that occur frequently but have meaning in the analysis or stop words are removed during filtering. The stemming stage involves removing affixes or suffixes (both at the beginning and the end of a word) to get to the root word. The Stop Word Remover Factory package from Sastrawi is used in the last two processes.

Split Dataset
Data splitting refers to the division of data into two or more parts. This is a crucial machine learning component, especially for building data-driven models. Typically, a two-part split is used to train the model, while the other part tests or evaluates the data. In this work, we used the train test split function of the Scikit-Learn package to split the complete dataset into 80% training data and 20% test data.

TF-IDF
To see the response from the text you have, each word will be weighted with specific rules. The authors used the TF-IDF (Term Frequency — Inverse Document Frequency) method for word embedding in this study. This method calculates the value of the term frequency (TF) and inverse document frequency (IDF) for each token in the document in the corpus. In simple terms, the TF-IDF method determines the number of times a word appears in a document. TF-IDF involves multiplying the IDF’s size by the TF’s size, which has proven to be very strong compared to other models (Robertson, 2004).

The fastText Word Embedding
Word embedding is a method of representing words as solid vectors that captures the relationships or semantic similarity between words that appear in later paragraphs of the text. (Mikolov, Grave, Bojanowski, Puhrsch, & Joulin, 2018). Proposed fastText, an enhanced word embedding method with sub-word insertion (n-gram characters). FastText represents words as minor elements using n-gram characters. Each word is divided into n-gram characters where 3 ≤ n ≤ 6. For example, with n=3, the word “smart” is then divided into <sm, sma, mar, art, dan re>, and <smart>. FastText also uses the skip-gram approach with negative samples suggested for Word2Vec’s modified skip-gram loss function.

Machine Learning
The author uses traditional machine learning techniques, such as Naive Bayes, Decision Tree, Random Forest, K-Nearest Neighbours (KNN), and Support Vector Machine (SVM), to analyze sentiment in this study. The conditional probability model serves as the basis for the Naive Bayes classifier. Sentiment classification involves two vectors, so the classifier assumes the two features' independence and probabilities (Zahoor, Bawany, &
Hamid, 2020). A decision tree is a hierarchical model for supervised learning in which the decision nodes of the test function identify a local region as a recursive set of subdivisions (Bayhaqi, Sfenrianto, Nainggolan, & Kaburuan, 2018). Random Forest works in two steps. The first step combines N decision trees to create a random forest. Then the second step is to make predictions for each tree made in the first step (Pribadi et al., 2022). KNN is a classification algorithm that forms new data classes using the closest K data (neighbors) as a guide (Bayhaqi et al., 2018). The SVM algorithm separates class data by finding the most optimal hyperlink (Firmansyah, Asnawi, Hasanah, Novian, & Pravitasarı, 2021).

**LSTM**

This study uses deep learning models, especially Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), to analyze sentiment. Researchers have widely explored the LSTM method, producing findings superior to previous methods, making it an ideal way to apply sentiment analysis. (Romadhoni, Fahmi, & Holle, 2022).

LSTM was developed to infer remote dependencies in sequence data. Long-term dependencies between data are maintained within the LSTM and contain semantic context. This algorithm uses special cells or storage units to store information about dependencies in the remote context. Each LSTM unit contains input, forget, and output gates to control the information stored, forgotten, and passed on to the next step. LSTM units decide what to store and when to allow reading, writing, and erasing through gate bypassing or blocking information through LSTM units (Kılıçci & Akyokus, 2019). The network architecture is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. LSTM Network Cell](image)

The four gate units that make up an LSTM are the input gate, forget gate, cell gate, and output gate (Romadhoni et al., 2022). Gates' job is determining whether the information should be kept or forgotten. Equation 1 to 6 shows the calculation for the hidden layer in the LSTM cell.

\[ f_t = \sigma(w_f[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f) \]  
\[ i_t = \sigma(w_i[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i) \]  
\[ o_t = \sigma(w_o[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o) \]  
\[ c_t = \tanh(w_c[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_c) \]  
\[ h_t = o_t \cdot \tanh(c_t) \]

Information:

- \( f_t \) = Forget gate
- \( i_t \) = Input Gate
- \( c_t \) = Cell Gate
- \( o_t \) = Output Gate
- \( h_t \) = Hidden State
- \( \hat{c}_t \) = Intermediate cell state
- \( b \) = Bias
- \( W \) = Weight

**Evaluation**

The last part of this experiment is measuring sentiment analysis performance utilizing deep learning and machine learning, and then the confusion matrix is required to calculate the accuracy or performance value. Equations 7 to 10 describe the confusion matrix.

\[ Precision = \frac{TP}{TP+FP} \times 100\% \]  
\[ Recall = \frac{TP}{TP+FN} \times 100\% \]  
\[ Accuracy = \frac{TP+TN}{TP+TN+FP+FN} \times 100\% \]  
\[ F1-Score = 2 \times \frac{precision \times recall}{precision + recall} \times 100\% \]

Information:

- \( TP \) = True Positive
- \( TN \) = True Negative
- \( FP \) = False Positive
- \( FN \) = False Negative

This experiment's last stage compares machine learning and deep learning performance. Accuracy and f1-score from the confusion matrix are used as matrix evaluation from both approaches.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The authors employed Python version 3.10 to do the experiments, which ran on a Windows environment with an AMD Ryzen 5 2.5Ghz processor and 8Gb of RAM. The dataset used is 10,000 reviews of the PeduliLindungi application
from the Google Play Store platform. Each review takes information about the content of the review (content) and the rating given by the reviewer (score), which is used for the labeling process. Data labeling in this study is limited to rating review. Ratings 1 and 2 are grouped into negative sentiments, while ratings 4 and 5 are grouped into positive sentiments, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Datasets Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>content</th>
<th>score</th>
<th>sentiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semakin kesini semakin berat aplikasinya,..buka Aplikasi lama bgt. Tolong diperbaiki bug utk scan barcode!🙏🙏</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sangat membantu setiap melakukan perjalanan. Walaupun kadang lemot prosesnya</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mau download sertifikat internasional aja ga bisa , ga muncul hasil nya, bikin ribet. Terima kasih kepada pemerintah yang telah memperhatikan masyarakat dengan aplikasi ini</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mau download sertifikat internasional aja ga bisa , ga muncul hasil nya, bikin ribet. Terima kasih kepada pemerintah yang telah memperhatikan masyarakat dengan aplikasi ini</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because of less relevant for the rating 3 content, we ignore this. So, the total data become 9,477 containing positive and negative sentiment; the composition can be seen in figure 3.

Figure 3. Percentage of The Amount of Data

The example of several stages of pre-processing text describes in table 2 below.

Table 2. Data Pre-processing Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Review</th>
<th>Semakin kesini semakin berat aplikasinya,..buka Aplikasi lama bgt. Tolong diperbaiki bug utk scan barcode!🙏🙏</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Removal of symbols/punctuation marks/emojis</td>
<td>Semakin kesini semakin berat aplikasinya buka Aplikasi lama bgt Tolong diperbaiki bug utk scan barcode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case folding</td>
<td>semakin kesini semakin berat aplikasinya buka aplikasi lama bgt tolong diperbaiki bug utk scan barcode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokensises</td>
<td>[Semakin, kesini, semakin, berat, aplikasinya, buka, aplikasi, lama, bgt, tolong, diperbaiki, bug, utk, scan, barcode]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtering</td>
<td>[Semakin, kesini, semakin, berat, aplikasinya, buka, aplikasi, lama, tolong, diperbaiki, bug, scan, barcode]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stemming</td>
<td>makin sini makin berat aplikasi buka aplikasi lama tolong baik bug scan barcode</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License
The dataset is divided into two parts with a ratio of 80:20. 20% of the dataset is used as a data test, and the remaining 80% as a data train. Before splitting the datasets, random oversampling (ROS) is used to overcome imbalanced data. In a machine learning model experiment, the training data is feature extracted using TF-IDF to calculate each word's TF and IDF scores. Then classification is carried out using algorithms from machine learning models, namely Naïve Bayes, Decision Tree, Random Forest, K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) with a value of K = 10, and Support Vector Machine (SVM) with parameters kernel = linear and C = 5. Each algorithm takes less than 1 minute to classify.

To evaluate, calculations are performed using the confusion matrix. The results of evaluation testing using the confusion matrix from experiments using machine learning models found that the Decision Tree and SVM algorithms perform better than the others with an accuracy of 84.5%, as in table 3.

Table 3. Machine Learning Model Evaluation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F1-score</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naïve Bayes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>83.6%</td>
<td>86.7%</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
<td>82.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>81.5%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>79.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>94.5%</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
<td>84.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>94.1%</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>93.5%</td>
<td>83.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-Nearest Neighbors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td>83.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Vector Machine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>94.5%</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
<td>84.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>94.1%</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to machine learning models, deep learning models were also used in the experiments. A deep learning experiment required 8 minutes and 2 seconds to load the 4.2 GB of trained Indonesian word vector data for the training data's word embedding using fastText Word Embedding. Then classification is carried out using the deep learning model algorithm, namely LSTM, with the parameter batch size = 64 and the number of epochs = 200. The LSTM technique requires 15 minutes and 34 seconds to train a classification model. An accuracy of 87% is obtained for the classification results by utilizing the LSTM 1 layer, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Deep Learning Model Evaluation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>LSTM 1 Layer</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>F1-score</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>85 %</td>
<td>89 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>90 %</td>
<td>84 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-Score</td>
<td>87 %</td>
<td>86 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>87 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 4 and 5 show the model accuracy and loss of the estimated training and validation data sets in the LSTM network units.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

In this study, we tested the effectiveness of the machine learning and deep learning models for
sentiment analysis of the PeduliLindungi application review. A total of 9,479 reviews were used. Positive reviews reached 56.67% of the total reviews, while negative reviews reached 43.33%.

The results show that compared to the machine learning model that uses TF-IDF word embedding, the deep learning model that combines the LSTM algorithm with the fastText Word Embedding word representation technique has the best performance and the highest accuracy.

It is noticeable that we are labeling the dataset with the help of a rating review. However, it appears that we could not control the review’s sentiment. The upcoming studies should consider the labeling method. Moreover, comparing recurrent neural network methods like Gate Recurrent Unit (GRU) and LSTM will be challenging.
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